

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,924	05/30/2001	Nobuaki Hashimoto	109681	6373
25944	7590 11/06/2002			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, TAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/856,924	HASHIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TAN N TRAN	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a proper of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	September 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims A\∇ Claim(s) 1.33 is/are pending in the application						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) 7-9 and 13-15 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-12,16-19 and 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 1-19 in Paper No. 12 is 1. acknowledge. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the restriction requirement does not specifically identify what "materially different product" can be made by the process of the claimed invention", and "the subject matter of all claims 1-32 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one Group of claims would encompass a search of the subject matter of the remaining claims", and "the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden". These are not found persuasive because: a/ the materially different product is the product having the member has properties which repel the resin at least in a region facing the holes in the substrate as recited in claim 25, and a first step of bonding a first conductive wire to one of the electrodes of the semiconductor chip and cutting the bonded first conductive wire with part of the first conductive wire to remain on one of the electrodes; and a second step of forming a first bump by pressing the remaining part of the first conductive wire on the electrode; and a third step of bonding a second conductive wire to the first bump and cutting the bonded second conductive wire with part of the second conductive wire to remain on the first bump and a fourth step of forming a second bump by pressing the remaining part of the second conductive wire on the first bump as recited in claim 30. Not that the product of Group II invention does not have the member has properties which repel the resin at least in a region facing the holes in the substrate as recited in claim 25, and a first step of bonding a first conductive wire to one of the electrodes of the semiconductor chip and cutting the

bonded first conductive wire with part of the first conductive wire to remain on one of the electrodes; and a second step of forming a first bump by pressing the remaining part of the first conductive wire on the electrode; and a third step of bonding a second conductive wire to the first bump and cutting the bonded second conductive wire with part of the second conductive wire to remain on the first bump and a fourth step of forming a second bump by pressing the remaining part of the second conductive wire on the first bump as recited in claim 30. b/Additionally, the search is not coextensive as evidenced by the different fields of search for the process and product as cited in the previous restriction requirement. Therefore, the election requirement is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10, 11, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otsuka (US 5,949,142).

Page 4

With regard to claim 1, Otsuka. discloses a substrate 4 including a plurality of holes and a surface over which an interconnecting pattern 4b is formed, part of the interconnecting pattern 4b being superposed over the holes; a semiconductor chip 2 disposed over another surface of the substrate 4 and including a plurality of electrodes to be positioned over the holes; and conductive posts (4a,4c) comprise interlevel conductive bump provided on the electrode 2a within the holes to be electrically connect to the interconnecting pattern 4b. (Note lines 67, column 4 and lines 1-5, column 5, figs 1, 3 of Otsuka)

With regard to claim 2, Otsuka discloses a resin 6 is provided between the substrate 4 and the semiconductor chip 2. (Note figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

With regard to claim 3, Otsuka discloses the resin is an anisotropic conductive material 6 containing conductive particles 6a. (Note lines 13-17, column 4, figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

With regard to claim 4, Otsuka. discloses the part of the interconnecting 4b closes the holes. (Note figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

With regard to claim 5, Otsuka discloses the interconnecting pattern 4b includes a plurality of interconnecting lines; and wherein two or more interconnecting lines extend over each of the holes.

With regard to claim 10, Otsuka discloses the conductive post (4a, 4c) are a plurality of layered bumps. (Note figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

With regard to claim 11, Otsuka discloses the bumps (4a, 4c) include first bumps 4a formed on the electrodes and second bumps 4c formed on the first bumps 4a. (Note figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

With regard to claim 17, Otsuka discloses the semiconductor chip is mounted face-down

to the substrate. (Note figs 1, 3 of Otsuka).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 12, 16,18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Otsuka (US 5,949,142).

With regard to claim 6, Otsuka discloses all claimed invention as in claim 1, except the

other surface of the substrate 4 is roughed. However, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to form Otsuka's case having surface of the substrate 4 is roughed

because such element 4 is conventional in the art in order to make the resin 6 is more stable.

With regard to claim 12, Otsuka discloses all claimed invention as in claims 1 and 10,

except at least the first bumps are ball bumps. However, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to form Otsuka's case having at least the first bumps are ball bumps in

order to form the conductive particles in a thermosetting resin for electrically connecting to the

semiconductor chip via an insulating layer more easy.

With regard to claim 16, Otsuka discloses all claimed invention as in claims 1 and 11,

except the first bumps and the second bumps are formed of the same material. However, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form Otsuka's case having the first bumps and the second bumps are formed of the same material in order to simplify the processing steps.

With regard to claims 18 and 19, Otsuka discloses all claimed invention as in claim 1, except a circuit board and electronic instrument provided with the semiconductor device. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form Otsuka's case on a circuit board or an electronic instrument provided with the semiconductor device because such structure is conventional in the art for forming the semiconductor integrated circuit package.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka (US 5,949,142) in view of Kim et al. (2002/0003308).

Otsuka does not disclose there is a space between each of the conductive posts and an inner surface of each of the holes.

However, Kim et al. discloses there is a space between a conductive post 33 and an inner surface of each of the holes 22. (Note fig. 9 of Kim et al.).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the Otsuka's device having there is a space between each of the conductive posts and an inner surface of each of the holes such as taught by Kim et al in order to reduce warpage of the package.

Page 7

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7-9, 13-15 are allowable over the prior art of record, because none of these references disclose or can be combined to yield the claimed invention such as a recognition hole is formed in the substrate at position differing from the holes; and wherein a recognition pattern is formed over the recognition hole on the side of a surface of the substrate including the interconnecting pattern in claim 7, and the second bumps are formed of a metal which has a melting point lower than the melting point of the first bumps in claim 13.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/18/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued, at page 4 of the remarks, that "Otsuka does not disclose or suggest a semiconductor device, including at least conductive posts provided on the electrodes and within the holes to be electrically connected to the interconnecting pattern". However, lines 67, column 4, lines 1-5, column 5, and figs 1, 3 of Otsuka do show at least conductive posts (4a,4c) provided on the electrodes 2a and within the holes to be electrically connected to the interconnecting pattern 4b. Thus, Applicant's claim 1 does not distinguish over Otsuka reference.

Election/Restrictions

6. This application contains claims 20-32 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 12. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,924

Art Unit: 2826

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-3362. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 9

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TT

Oct 2002

Minh Lean Tran Primary Examiner